

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/048,225	LLOYD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	Art Unit	
	Ethan Whisenant, Ph.D.	1634	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ethan Whisenant, Ph.D. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Suzanne Ziska, Ph.D. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 14 April 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The applicant's representative called the examiner and pointed out that only 17 claims had been considered in the Office action with paper no. 031705. Furthermore the applicant's representative pointed out that it appeared to her that the office had examined the wrong claim set. Finally, the applicant's representative pointed out that the claims had been amended during Chapter I of the PCT prosecution and that the 18 claims in the amendment made during Chapter I of the PCT prosecution should be the claims of record. The examiner agreed to vacat the action with paper no. 031705 and issue a new Non-final action and restart the clock. The applicant's representative suggested that a Preliminary Amendment should be filed to clarify the record. The examiner agreed.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/048,225	LLOYD ET AL	
	Examiner Ethan Whisenant, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1634	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ethan Whisenant, Ph.D. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Suzanne Ziska, PhD. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 27 April 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The amendment filed by FAX on 18 APR 05 was discussed. The applicant's representative proposed an amendment to overcome some claim dependency problems as well as the 112, 2nd paragraph rejection applied in the Office action mailed 21 MAR 05. The examiner agreed that the amendment would overcome the rejection of Claim 30 (Old Claim 12) and place the application in condition for allowance. The examiner agreed to prepare an Examiner's Amendment to overcome the problems with the claims in the amendment filed by FAX on 21 MAR 05. The examiner agreed to FAX a copy of the Examiner's Amendment to the applicant's representative ASAP .